

1

2 Herbert A. Viergutz, Esq.
3 Barokas Martin & Tomlinson
4 1029 West Third, Suite 280
5 Anchorage, AK 99501
6 Phone: (907) 276-8010
7 Facsimile: (907) 276-5334

6 Attorneys for United States Guaranty Company

7 **IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF ALASKA AT ANCHORAGE**

8 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA for the use of NORTH
9 STAR TERMINAL & STEVEDORE COMPANY, d/b/a
10 NORTHERN STEVEDORING & HANDLING, and NORTH
11 STAR TERMINAL & STEVEDORE COMPANY, d/b/a
12 NORTHERN STEVEDORING & HANDLING, on its own
13 behalf,

14 Plaintiffs,

15 and

16 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA for the use of SHORESIDE
17 PETROLEUM, INC., d/b/a MARATHON FUEL SERVICE,
18 and SHORESIDE PETROLEUM, INC., d/b/a
19 MARATHON FUEL SERVICE, on its own behalf,

20 Intervening Plaintiffs,

No. 3:98-cv-9 (HRH)

21 and

22 METCO, INC.,

23 Intervening Plaintiff,

24 vs.

25 NUGGET CONSTRUCTION, INC.; SPENCER ROCK
26 PRODUCTS, INC.; UNITED STATES FIDELITY AND
27 GUARANTY COMPANY; and ROBERT A. LAPORE,

28 Defendants.

LIMITED REPLY BY UNITED STATES FIDELITY AND GUARANTY COMPANY TO

26

BAROKAS MARTIN & TOMLINSON
1029 West Third, Suite 280
Anchorage, Alaska 99501
Phone: (907) 276-8010
Fax: (907) 276-5334

27

28

1
2 **SHORESIDE'S, METCO'S AND NORTH STAR'S OPPOSITIONS TO NUGGET'S**
MOTION TO EXTEND DEADLINE FOR EXPERT REPORT

3 Plaintiff's, Shoreside and Metco's referenced pleading, at page 2, paragraphs 1 and
4 2, and footnote 1, allege that USF&G's rebuttal Expert Report to be filed on or before
5 March 16, 2006, is somehow untimely. That assertion is patently false. The Report and
6 Proposed Calendar of Counsel Following Conference in Accordance with Court's Order
7 dated August 11, 2005, at page 3, paragraph 3 states " the final witness list and the
8 identification of lay and expert witnesses and related information in accordance with federal
9 rules of civil procedure 26(a)(2) and 26(a) (3)(A) shall be served and filed by all parties by
10 or before February 14, 2006." The Court accepted, at Docket 415, through Order dated
11 October 12, 2005, that Report. In accordance with that Order, Defendant, USF&G, filed
12 its Witness List on February 14, 2006. Mr. John George, Defendant's expert, was listed on
13 that Witness List filed by USF&G. By pleading of USF&G the following day, February 15,
14 2006, the undersigned, at Docket No. 450, notified the parties of the intent of USF&G,
15 pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(a)(2)(C), that said expert, John George,
16 would be filing his rebuttal Expert Report on or before its due date, March 16, 2006. In that
17 Notice, at Docket No. 450, the undersigned also notified all counsel of record that he
18 intended to take the deposition of Shoreside's "expert", Mr. Callow, on March 27, 2006,
19 and that USF&G would make available USF&G's expert, John George, for deposition on
20 even date, or the date subsequent thereto. Hence, no extension to the Discovery deadline
21 is necessary. (See relevant pleadings and e-mails attached hereto as Exhibit A.)
22

23 The undersigned certainly does not believe, nor can it be seriously contended, that
24 the Report filed by Mr. Sewright dated October 11, 2005, at Docket No. 414, prohibited any
25

26 **BAROKAS MARTIN & TOMLINSON**
27 1029 West Third, Suite 280
Anchorage, Alaska 99501
Phone: (907) 276-8010
Fax: (907) 276-5334

1
2 party from forwarding a rebuttal Expert Report within 30 days of receiving an Expert Report
3 from an opposing party. In fact, the Report specifically states that the related information
4 shall be filed in accordance with Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(a)(2), which provides at
5 (C), for the forwarding of a rebuttal Expert Report within 30 days after the disclosure made
6 by the other party. How could a rebuttal Expert Report even be contemplated in Mr.
7 Sewright's Report when the potential need for a rebuttal Report could not be evaluated
8 when Expert Reports were not even due for over four months hence? How can Mr.
9 Sewright claim prejudice, at page 3 of his Opposition, when a rebuttal Expert Report can
10 never be forwarded prior to receiving the initial Expert Report? Certainly, a party would
11 not give up its right to file a rebuttal Expert Report having not yet even received the initial
12 Expert Report of an opposing party. Plaintiff's position is ludicrous, to say nothing of flying
13 in the face of the Rules. The parties specifically agreed to comply with the Rules in the
14 Report forwarded by Mr. Sewright on October 11, 2005. The case law supports
15 Defendant's position in that the expert Disclosures should be sufficiently
16 in advance of Trial that opposing parties have a reasonable opportunity
17 to prepare for effective cross examination and perhaps arrange for
18 expert testimony from other witnesses. *Southern Union Company v.*
19 *Southwest Gas Corp.*, 180 F. Supp. 2nd 1021, 1059-60 (D. Ariz. 2002). If
20 the expert testimony is purely to contradict or rebut testimony disclosed
21 by another party, then the disclosure must be made within 30 days
22 after the disclosures by the other party. *Primus v. United States*, 389 F.
23 3rd 231, 234 (1st Cir. 2004); and *Callahan v. A.E.V., Inc.*, 182 F. 3rd 237,
24

25
26
27
28

BAROKAS MARTIN & TOMLINSON
1029 West Third, Suite 280
Anchorage, Alaska 99501
Phone: (907) 276-8010
Fax: (907) 276-5334

1
2 259 (3rd Cir. 1999). In the event this Court interprets the Rule that leave
3 may be necessary in order for Defendant, USF&G to disclose its Expert
4 Report consistent with Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(a)(2)(C), then
5 such leave is herein sought, and should appropriately be granted.
6 *Nyama v. Ashcroft*, 357 F. 3rd 812, 816 (8th Circuit 2004); and
7 *Eckelkamb v. Beste*, 315 F. 3rd 863, 872 (8th Cir. 2002). An appropriate
8 Order is lodged with the Court.

9 The undersigned also submits a personal Affidavit in support of this Reply, filed
10 herewith.

11 Dated this 10th day of March, 2006.

12 s/ Herbert A. Viergutz

13

Herbert A. Viergutz, Alaska Bar No. 8506088

14

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

15 I HEREBY CERTIFY that a copy of this document
16 was served by electronic notification on this 10th day
17 of March, 2006, to:

18 Michael W. Sewright, Esq.
Burr, Pease & Kurtz
810 N Street
Anchorage, AK 99501

19
20 Paul Stockler, Esq.
1309 West 16th Avenue
Anchorage, AK 99501

21
22 Tom Krider, Esq.
Traeger Machetanz, Esq
Oles Morrison Rinker & Baker, LLP
23 745 4th Avenue, Suite 502

24

25 BAROKAS MARTIN & TOMLINSON

26 1029 West Third, Suite 280

27 Anchorage, Alaska 99501

28 Phone: (907) 276-8010

4 Fax: (907) 276-5334

1 Anchorage, AK 99501-2136
2

3 Steven J. Shamburek, Esq.
4 Law Office of Steven J. Shamburek
5 425 G Street, Suite 630
6 Anchorage, AK 99501-5872

7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24

25 BAROKAS MARTIN & TOMLINSON
26 1029 West Third, Suite 280
27 Anchorage, Alaska 99501
28 Phone: (907) 276-8010
Fax: (907) 276-5334